

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.1427 of 2022

1. Manas Das

2. Suryakanta Barik

....

Petitioners

Mr. S.K. Nayak, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opp. Party

Mr. Arupananda Das,

Addl. Government Advocate

**CORAM:
JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**ORDER
22.02.2022**

Order No.

01. This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

This is an application under section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in connection with Astarang P.S. Case No.20 of 2022 corresponding to G.R. Case No.111 of 2022 pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Nimapara for alleged commission of offences under sections 468/469 of the Indian Penal Code read with section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

Perused the F.I.R.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted

that as per the first information report, the main allegation is against co-accused Manoj Das and petitioner no.1 being a relation of the said co-accused has been falsely entangled in the case and there is absolutely no material against petitioner no.2 Suryakanta Barik and therefore, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners may be favourably considered.

Learned counsel for the State placed the F.I.R. and opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties, the nature of accusation against the petitioners and since the main allegation is against co-accused Manoj Das, I am inclined to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail and accordingly, this Court directs that in the event of arrest of the petitioners in connection with the aforesaid case, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) each with one surety each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer with further conditions that they shall make themselves available for interrogation by the I.O. as and when required and they shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Investigating Officer and with further

conditions that they shall not try to keep any contact with the victim and they shall cooperate with the investigation.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

The ABLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(S.K. Sahoo)
Judge

RKM

