

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 7241 of 2013

Sunil Kumar Sahu

.....

Petitioner

Mr. A. Tripathy, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.N. Nayak, ASC

Mr. K.B. Panda, Advocate (Intervener)

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

13.04.2022

Order No.

07.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Mr. K.B. Panda, learned counsel for the intervener submits that he has no instruction in this matter.

3. However, since the period of tender is already over, by efflux of time, the writ petition has become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of, as infructuous. However, if any cause of action still survives, the petitioner is at liberty to pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum in terms of agreement/DTCN, if he is so advised.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE