

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 3191 of 2022

Sudhakar Rout

.....

Petitioner

*Mr. R. K. Bisoi,
Advocate*

Vs.

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

07.02.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party no.1 to bring him under work charged establishment from the date of his juniors have been brought over to work charged establishment under Annexure-8 along with all service and financial benefits within a stipulated period.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-14 and the same may be directed to be considered taking into consideration Annexures-10, 11, 12 & 13 within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel

has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-14 and pass appropriate order taking into consideration Annexures-10, 11, 12 & 13 within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

Ashok



