

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 19207 of 2011

Ch. Srinivas Patro

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Senapati, Adv.

Vs.

Collector, Rayagada and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

09.05.2022

Order No.

06.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Mr. S. Senapati, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the e-procurement notice issued by the authority, the petitioner was allowed to perform the work and, as such, he has already completed 50% work allotted to him. But thereafter, the same was cancelled by the authority without assigning any reason. As such, till date no counter affidavit has been filed by the State or the Municipality.
3. In view of the above submission, since 50% work, as claimed by the petitioner, has already been completed, it is open to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum in terms of the DTCN or the agreement executed between the parties.
4. With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

Ashok/Puspa

(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE