

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 23436 of 2012

Purusotam Patra

.....

Petitioners

Mr. B.B. Mishra, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

16.02.2022

Order No.

3

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the notice dated 02.06.2012 under Annexure-15 rescinding his contract and imposing penalty on him and he further seeks direction to opposite parties no.2 and 4 to make measurements of the actual work done at site in his presence, release all his payments as due with interest within a stipulated period and allow the petitioner to complete the approach road of the subject bridge within the said period under Annexure-13.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.4 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be

considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.4 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

