

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) NO.2100 OF 2022

Purna Chandra Nayak & ors.

....

Petitioners

Mr.M.K.Dash, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr.U.K.Sahoo, ASC

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BISWANATH RATH**

**ORDER
2.2.2022**

**Order
No.**

01.

1. This matter is taken up through video conference mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
3. The Petitioner has statutory remedy of Revision, for which the Writ Petition is not entertainable. Considering that there is no scope for filing the Appeal for there is already involvement of the Sub-Collector in the impugned order, this Court observes, in the event, there is involvement of the Sub-Collector in the original proceeding and the appeal is barred, let a Revision be filed within two weeks.
4. The Writ Petition stands disposed of.

5. As restrictions due to resurgence of COVID-19 situation are continuing, learned counsel for the Parties may utilize a printout of the order available in the High Court's Website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned Advocate, in the manner prescribed vide Court's Notice No.4587 dated 25th March, 2020, modified by Notice No.4798 dated 15th April, 2021 and Court's Office Order circulated vide Memo Nos.514 and 515 dated 7th January, 2022.

(Biswanath Rath)
Judge

M.K.Rout