

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) NO.11713 OF 2010

Pratap Rundra Mishra

....

Petitioner

Mr.N.Singh, Adv.

-versus-

***Judge of the Family Court, Cuttack
& ors.***

....

Opposite Parties

Mr.P.C.Biswal, Adv.

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BISWANATH RATH**

**ORDER
19.12.2022**

**Order
No.**

5. 1. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
2. This Writ Petition is confined to Criminal Proceeding No.586/2005, which appears to have been allowed by directing the Husband to pay a sum of Rs.500/- as maintenance to the daughter, Tapaswini Mishra through her mother-guardian from the date of application. Considering the statement of the learned counsel for the Petitioner, for a meagre amount being granted in favour of the minor child, this Court finds no scope for interfering with the same. Though the Writ Petition involves a challenge to other orders involved herein, particularly vide C.P. No.389/1998, this Court finds, the Writ Petition is not entertainable involving such order. If

the Petitioner is so advised and if any cause of action still survives, he may approach under appropriate law. All the points raised involving grant of nominal maintenance in favour of the daughter found to be not substantive, the Writ Petition stands dismissed.

(Biswanath Rath)
Judge

M.K.Rout

