

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

MACA No.921 of 2017

Mahindra Sunamudi

....

Appellant

Mr. R.C. Pradhan, Advocate

-versus-

Purna Chandra Sahu and Another

....

Respondents

Mr. G.P. Dutta, counsel for Respondent No.2

CORAM:

SHRI JUSTICE B. P. ROUTRAY

ORDER

29.8.2022

Order No.

Misc. Case No.1430 of 2017 & MACA No.921 of 2017

- 07.
1. The matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
 2. Heard Mr. R.C. Pradhan, learned counsel for the claimant – Appellant and Mr. G.P. Dutta, learned counsel for the insurer – Respondent No.2.
 3. There is delay of 342 days in presenting the appeal and the grounds mentioned in paragraph 3 of the Miscellaneous Application are that, the Appellant was not aware of the negative order passed by the Tribunal and after coming to know about the same he preferred the appeal.
 4. Upon hearing Mr. Dutta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the insurer and considering such grounds taken in the application, the same are not found satisfactory and as such this court is not inclined to condone the delay in preferring the appeal.

5. The Misc. Case is dismissed. Consequently the appeal is dismissed on the ground of limitation.

(B.P. Routray)
Judge

M.K.Panda

