

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.562 of 2020

- 1. Sarat Ch. Kund @ Kundu** **Petitioners**
2. Suresh Kund @ Kundu
3. Tanmay Kund @ Kundu
4. Manoj Kund @ Kundu

Ms. Sangita Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha **Opp. Party**

Mr. Arupananda Das,
Addl. Government Advocate

ABLAPL No.563 of 2020

- 1. Musi Kund @ Kundu** **Petitioners**
2. Sukuti Das @ Nirupama Panda
3. Sridhar Kund @ Kundu
4. Manasi Sahoo @ Jasoda Sahoo

Ms. Sangita Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha **Opp. Party**

Mr. Arupananda Das,
Addl. Government Advocate

ABLAPL No.565 of 2020

- 1. Tapas Kund @ Kundu** **Petitioners**
2. Manmohan Kund @ Kundu

**3. Manas @ Sushant Kund
@ Kundu**

Ms. Sangita Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opp. Party

Mr. Arupananda Das,
Addl. Government Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO

ORDER

11.01.2022

Order No.

03.

All these anticipatory bail applications are taken up by video conferencing mode.

Since all the anticipatory bail applications arise out of one case i.e. G.R. Case No.10 of 2020 pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Kendrapara, with the consent of the learned counsel for the respective parties, all the cases are heard analogously and disposed of by this common order.

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State in all the three anticipatory bail applications._

All the applications under section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in connection with Pattamundai P.S. Case No.10 of 2020 corresponding to G.R. Case No.10 of 2020 pending in

the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Kendrapara for alleged commission of offences under sections 341/323/325/307/294/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Perused the FIR.

Learned counsel for the State on instruction submitted that there are no criminal antecedents against any of the petitioners and there are two injured persons, who have sustained simple injuries.

Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the nature of accusation against the petitioners, the background of the case and further submission that there are no such materials against the petitioners so as to attract the ingredients of the offence under section 307 of the Indian Penal Code and it is a case and counter case and on hearing the learned counsel for the State, I am inclined to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail and accordingly, this Court directs that in the event of arrest of the petitioners in connection with the aforesaid case, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer with further conditions that they shall make themselves available for interrogation by the I.O. as and when required and they shall not directly or

indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing any facts to the Courts or to the Investigating Officer.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

All the ABLAPL are accordingly disposed of.

Parties may utilize the soft copy of this order available in the High Court's website or print out thereof at par with certified copy in the manner prescribed vide Office Order dated 7th January 2022.

RKM

