

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 4918 of 2010

Dr. Jagannath Lenka

....

Petitioner

Mr. Aditya Mishra, Advocate

Versus

***The Chancellor of Universities &
Others***

....

Opposite Parties

*Mr. Abhisek Agarwal, Advocate
on behalf of Mr. S.P. Mishra, Sr. Advocate*

(For O.P. Nos.2 & 3)

Mr. Sangram Senapati, Advocate

(For O.P.No.5)

CORAM:

JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

23.09.2022

(Through hybrid mode)

Order No.

06.

1. The writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, prayed that this Hon’ble court may be graciously pleased to issue a Rule Nisi calling upon the opp. Parties to show cause as to why a writ of mandamus or certiorari or any other appropriate writ/ writs would not be issued by interfering with the candidature of the opp.party no.5 as well as the decision of the Hon’ble Chancellor dtd. 25.01.2010 as at Annexure-17 with regard to the post of Professor in Economics by non-consideration of the contentions raised by the petitioner and also the erroneous notification thereafter by the opp.party no.2 as Annexure-18;

xxx

xxx

xxx.”

2. Mr. Aditya Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a memo stating that the writ petition has become infructuous due to efflux of time for which the petitioner does not want to press this application any further.

3. In view of the memo, the writ application is disposed of as infructuous.

4. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

puspa

.....
(*Savitri Ratho*)
Judge

