

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.19020 of 2009
(Through Hybrid mode)

Tankadhar Sahu

....

Petitioner

-versus-

***Employees' State Insurance
Corporation and others***

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P. P. Ray, Advocate

Mr. A.K. Patra, Advocate for O.P.5

CORAM: JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA

ORDER
02.12.2022

Order No.

07.

1. None appears on behalf of petitioner while Mr. Ray, learned advocate appears on behalf of the corporation and Mr. Patra for opposite party no.5.
2. Text of order dated 22nd November, 2022 is reproduced below.

“1. None appears on behalf of petitioner in this writ petition presented on 9th December, 2009. Mr. Ray, learned advocate appears on behalf of the Corporation. Mr. Patra, learned advocate appears on behalf of opposite party no.5 (bank).

2. Order-sheet reveals that the writ petition has not yet been moved for admission. As such, the writ petition deserves to be dismissed but is adjourned at instance of Court. It is made clear, in event petitioner continues to go

unrepresented on adjourned date or thereafter, the writ petition is likely to be dismissed.

3. *List on 30th November, 2022.”*

3. The writ petition is dismissed.

(Arindam Sinha)
Judge

Prasant

