

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 12299 of 2013

Ashok Kumar Das

.....

Petitioner

Mr. Prabodh Ch. Nayak, Adv

Vs.

State of Odisha and Anr.

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. T.K. Pattnaik, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

14.03.2022

Order No.

05.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard Mr. P.Ch. Nayak, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. T.K. Pattnaik, learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.

3. Petitioner in this writ petition seeks for a direction to the Opposite Party No.2-Executive Engineer, R.W. Division, Balasore to reimburse the deducted royalty amount deducted from his bill in respect of work i.e. "PMGSY work Baunsapala to Chandigarh Jharana Ghati to Raikalia, Package No. OR-02-238/ Phase-XII/2012-13" vide Agreement No. 04 (P-1) PMGSY of 2012-13".

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the present writ petition is covered as per the decision of this Court passed in W.P.(C) No.11830 of 2013 on 18th March 2015 in the case of *Akuli Charan Das v. State of Orissa and others and batch of cases*, 2006 (Supp.-II) OLR 672 and, as such, the present writ petition may be disposed of in terms of the said order.

5. Mr. T.K. Pattnaik, learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State -Opposite Parties does not oppose to the same.

6. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 18th March 2015 passed in W.P.(C) No.11830 of 2013 and accordingly, we direct that in the event the Petitioner, with regard to the grievance made in this petition, files a comprehensive representation before appropriate authority attaching running account bills along with copy of this order annexing therewith the judgment of this Court dated 18th March 2015 passed in W.P.(C) No.11830 of 2013 and batch of cases and the decision in the case ***Akuli Charan Das*** (supra) within four weeks hence, the same shall be considered and decided by the authority concerned by a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of filing of such representation.

7. It is further directed that in case the Petitioner is found to be entitled for refund of any amount, the same shall be refunded to the Petitioner within six weeks from the date of passing of the order or adjust the refundable amount against any ongoing/completed projects that they may be undertaking if the Petitioner may opt for.

8. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

Alok/Puspa

(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE