

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No. 756 of 2022**

***Rajib Kumar Bagh***

.....

***Petitioner***

*Mr. B.K. Pattanaik, Advocate*

**- Versus-**

***State of Odisha & Ors.***

.....

***Opp. Parties***

*State Counsel*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**11.02.2022**

**Order No.**

**01**

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to appoint the petitioner as Asst. Driver from the date when others have been appointed with all financial and consequential benefits.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.6 vide Annexure-2 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexure-5, to which learned State counsel has raised no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-6 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, taking into consideration

Annexure-5, within a period of three months from the date of production of authenticated/certified copy of this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Alok*

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)**  
**JUDGE**

