

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 7238 of 2010

Sudam Chandra Sethi

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Nanda, Advocate

Vs.

Commnr.-cum-Secy.,

.....

Opposite parties

Women & Child

State Counsel

Development Deptt. & Ors.

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE S.K. PANIGRAHI

ORDER

05.01.2022

Order No.

4

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he has no instructions in the matter, since it is an year old matter. Therefore, the writ petition may be disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the authority concerned, if any cause of action still survives.

3. Mr. A.K. Mishra, learned Additional Government Advocate stated that it is a tender matter and the writ petition has become infructuous by efflux of time.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Alok

.....
(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

.....
(S.K. PANIGRAHI)
JUDGE