

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 11556 of 2015

Bikramananda Mohanty

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P.C. Jena, Advocate

Vs.

***Chief Engineer, Rural Works
Division, Bhubaneswar & Ors.***

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

08.04.2022

Order No.

05

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. None appears for the petitioner at the time of call.
3. Perused the record. Earlier also on 07.08.2015, 07.11.2015 and 01.02.2016, none also appeared for the petitioner. On perusal of the prayer, it appears that the petitioner seeks direction to opposite party no.3 to execute the work S/R to Aruha-Nampo via mango pond for the year 2015-16 in pursuance of the letter under Annexure-2. As such, the said period has already over in the meantime. Therefore, the writ petition has become infructuous by efflux of time and accordingly, the same is disposed of as infructuous.

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE**

Alok/Sukanta

**(SAVITRI RATHO)
JUDGE**