

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**ABLAPL No.223 of 2020**

**1. Lalita Mohan Ransingh**

**2. Samanta Srikanta**

**Kumar Ransingh @**

**Sukanta Ransingh**

**....**

**Petitioners**

*Mr.T.P. Mohapatra, Advocate*

*-versus-*

**State of Odisha**

**....**

**Opp. Party**

*Mr.J.P. Patra,*

*Addl. Standing Counsel*

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**ORDER**

**07.01.2022**

**Order No.**

03. This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

This is an application under section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in connection with G.R. Case No.2700 of 2019 arising out of Krushnaprasad P.S. Case No.144 of 2019 pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Puri for alleged commission of offences under sections 341/294/506/354/354-B/323/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Perused the F.I.R.

Since there are six numbers of criminal antecedents against petitioner no.1 Lalita Mohan Ransingh, I am not inclined to release him on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner no.1 stands rejected.

So far as petitioner no.2 Samanta Srikanta Kumar Ransingh @ Sukanta Ransingh is concerned, since the injured persons, namely, Babina Maharana and Sadhu Maharana have sustained simple injuries and taking into account the background of the case and the nature of accusation against the petitioner no.2 and on hearing the learned counsel for the State, I am inclined to release the petitioner no.2 on anticipatory bail and accordingly, this Court directs that in the event of arrest of petitioner no.2 Samanta Srikanta Kumar Ransingh @ Sukanta Ransingh in connection with the aforesaid case, he shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting officer with further conditions that he shall make himself available for interrogation by the I.O. as and when required and he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Investigating Officer.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall

entail cancellation of bail.

The ABLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

**( S.K. Sahoo )**  
**Judge**

RKM

