

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

MACA No.15 of 2004

Dhusasan Pradhan

....

Appellant

-versus-

Harekrushan Pradhan & Ors.

Respondents

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER
09.03.2022**

Order No

07. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Appellant and learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

3. This Appeal has been filed by the Appellant challenging the award passed by the learned 3rd MACT, Puri in MAC No.6/143 of 2001/1987 on 05.11.2003.

4. It was submitted by Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the Appellant that learned Tribunal vide the aforesaid judgment rejected the claim of the Appellant on the grounds that the Appellant failed to produce any document in support of his injury occurred due to the accident on 27.11.1986.

5. Accordingly, Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the Appellant prayed for interference of this Court in the said judgment and to award compensation in favour of the Appellant.

6. Per contra, learned counsel appearing for Respondent nos.3 and 4 while supporting the judgment submitted that learned Tribunal after due hearing of the matter rightly rejected the claim of the Appellant as the Appellant failed to produce any document in support of his injury.

7. While perusing the impugned judgment I also found that the Appellant in support of his injury has not filed any document.

8. Therefore, in absence of any such document in support of the injury of the appellant, no compensation would have been allowed in favour of the Appellant and learned Tribunal rightly rejected his claim.

9. Therefore, I am not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment and the Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Sneha