

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 12170 of 2017

Anita Majhi

.....

Petitioner

Mr. U.K.Samal, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr.P.K.Muduli, AGA

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

16.12.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

“(a) Admit the writ application;

(b Call for the records;

(c) Issue Rule Nisi in calling upon the opposite parties as to why the Rehabilitation and Re-settlement benefit as per the Odisha Resettlement and Rehabilitation Policy 2006 shall not be provided to the petitioner. If the Resettlement and Rehabilitation benefit shall not be provided to the petitioner the Land Acquisition Notification made under Annexure-1 be quashed.

d) If the opp. parties fails to show cause or shown insufficient case the said rule be made absolute “.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting her grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.3 vide Annexure-4 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State

has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

Subrat

(B. P. SATAPATHY)
JUDGE

