

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) NO.16295 OF 2005

Basanti Devi @ Nayak & ors.

....

Petitioners

Mr.B.Bhuyan, Adv.

-versus-

State of Orissa & ors.

....

Opposite Party(s)

Mr.U.K.Sahoo, ASC

Mr.N.K.Sahu, Adv.

Mr.D.P.Mohanty, Adv.

CORAM:
JUSTICE BISWANATH RATH

ORDER
17.10.2022

Order No.

5. 1. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
2. There is substantial representation on behalf of the O.Ps.
- Therefore, this Court ignores the defect so far it relates to service of
- notice on O.Ps.5, 10, 11, 12 & 14.
3. From the impugned order, this Court finds, there is a clear
- disclosure that decision in the consolidation proceeding shall
- ultimately abided in the final outcome in R.S.A. No.185 of 2004. In
- this view of the matter, there is no requirement of interfering in such
- order. Consequently, the Writ Petition stands disposed of
- accordingly.

(Biswanath Rath)
Judge

M.K.Rout