

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.13 of 2022

Uma Shankar Sahu

....

Petitioner

Mr. Rajib Lochan Pattnaik, Advocate

-versus-

The State of Orissa and another

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. R.K. Tripathy, A.S.C. for State

CORAM:

JUSTICE A.K. MOHAPATRA

ORDER
28.01.2022

Order No.

1. This matter is taken up through Video Conferencing mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State. Perused the records.
3. The present application has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for releasing the Petitioner on anticipatory bail. However in view of the bar contained under Sections 18 as well as 18-A of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, the present application u/s.438 Cr.P.C. is not maintainable. The provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. is not applicable to the case registered involving an offence under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.
4. The aforesaid issue has also been examined by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of ***Prathvi Raj Chauhan -vrs.- Union of India and others, reported in 2020(1) OLR (SC) 419***. In Paragraph-10 of the said judgment it has been held that provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. shall not apply to the case under the Scheduled

Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. While saying so, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has further observed that if the complaint does not make out a prima facie case for applicability of the provisions of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, the bar created by Sections 18 & 18-A of the Act shall not apply.

5. Further, this Court has also elaborately discussed the issue in the case of ***Pramod Kumar Ray and others -vrs- State of Odisha, reported in (2017) 67 OCR 309***. In the light of the principle laid down by this Court in ***Pramod Kumar Ray (supra)***, the present bail application is being disposed of with the following observations.

6. The Petitioner shall surrender before the learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Malkangiri in T.R. Case No.176 of 2020, arising out of Orkel P.S. Case No.0225 of 2020 on or before 03.02.2022. Seven days before the Petitioner surrenders before the said court, he or his counsel shall serve a copy of the bail application or such number of copies of the bail application on the learned Public Prosecutor/Special Public Prosecutor, as required by him, for the purpose of notice to the victim or his/her counsel dependent.

7. Taking into consideration the nature of the offence as alleged against the Petitioner, it is directed that the Petitioner shall be released on interim bail by the learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Malkangiri on the same day, he surrenders in the aforesaid case, pending disposal of the bail application on merit, at the time of final hearing of the case, on such terms and conditions as deemed just and proper.

8. The aforesaid order shall not be effective, if the injuries

sustained by the victim/victims are near fatal and victim/victims are still in bad shape. But, if the injuries are otherwise lesser and the victim/victims is/are hale and hearty, this observation shall not be effective and the Petitioner can be granted interim bail.

9. The ABLAPL is disposed of.

10. As the restrictions due to resurgence of COVID -19 situation are continuing, learned counsel for the parties may utilize a print out of the order available in the High Court's website, at par with certified copy, subject to attestation by the concerned Advocate, in the manner prescribed vide Court's Notice No.4587, dated 25th March, 2020 as modified by Court's Notice No.4798, dated 15th April, 2021 and Office Order dated 7th January, 2022.

(*A.K. Mohapatra*)
Judge

