

ORDER SHEET
WPO/1633/2021
IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
ORIGINAL SIDE

INLAND TIE UP PVT LTD AND ANR
VS
Union of India AND ORS

BEFORE:
The Hon'ble JUSTICE MD. NIZAMUDDIN
Date : 18TH JANUARY, 2022.

Appearance:
Mr. Pramit Bag, Adv.
Mr. Subash Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Brijesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arijit Dey, Adv.
..for the petitioners

The Court : Affidavit of services filed in Court be kept with the records. None appears on behalf of the respondents in spite of service of notices twice.

Heard the learned advocates appearing for the petitioner.

In this writ petition, petition has challenged the impugned notice dated 28th June, 2021 relating to assessment year 2016-2017 under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 in the name of transferor company on the ground that the noticee has already been amalgamated on 1st December, 2020 with retrospective effect from 1st April, 2020 and the department has been intimated about this amalgamation which is matters of record and such notice in the name of a non-existing company is not tenable in the eye of law since information of such amalgamation has already given to the respondent on 20th September, 2021 yet the Respondent concerned has not withdrawn the impugned notice.

In support of his contention Mr. Bag, learned advocate appearing for the petitioners has relied on a decision of the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in the case of ***Takshashila Realties Pvt. Ltd. Versus Dy Commissioner of Income Tax*** reported in 2016 SCC OnLine Guj 6462 and specifically relies on Paragraph 10 of the said judgement and also my own order dated 2nd August, 2021 in ***WPA 1791 of 2020 (Brubeck Resources Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors.)***.

Considering the submission of the parties, I am of the view that the impugned notice dated 28th June, 2021 and 24th November, 2021 (Annexure P-3 & P-5 to the writ petition) are not tenable in the eye of law and all further steps pursuant to the said impugned notices also are not tenable in the eye of law and the same are quashed. The writ petition is allowed and the impugned notices are quashed solely on the ground that the impugned notice was issued in the name of non-existing company. However, quashing of this notice will not prevent the respondents from issuing fresh notice in accordance with law.

Since no affidavits have been called for, allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied by the respondents.

Accordingly, WPO NO. 1633 of 2021 is disposed of.

(MD. NIZAMUDDIN, J.)