

29.04.2022.
Item no. 14.
Court No.13
ap

CPAN 593 of 2020
In
C.O. No. 1122 of 2020
With
I.A. No. CAN 1 of 2020 (Old CAN 3210 of 2020)

Sri Saibal Basu
Versus
Smt. Sudeepta Basu

Mr. Kallol Basu,
Mr. Bratin Kumar Dey.

..For the petitioner.

Mr. Saumyen Dutta,
Mr. Tapas Singha Roy.

...For the alleged contemnor.

The petitioner husband complains that his wife/
the alleged contemnor is not complying with the
visitation order.

Counsel for the wife vehemently denies the same,
and submits that his client is regularly taking the
child to ensure visitation of the father.

This Court is of the view that if there is any
violation of any visitation orders or those of this Court
by any of the parties, the Court below shall take
appropriate steps in this regard.

In view of the above, the contempt application is
disposed of, reserving liberty to the parties to take
appropriate steps in the Court below, against violation
of any visitation rights.

There will be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)