

05.07.2022
Item No. 40
Ct. No.40
Ali.

CRR 3700 of 2012
Sushil Kumar Santra @ Sushil Santra
vs.
Smt. Sabita Laha & Anr.

Mr. Pratick Bose,
Mr. Samrat Paul

... for the State

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Complaint Case No. 267 of 2007 was filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 3rd Court, Serampore, Hooghly in terms of impugned judgement and order dated 28.02.2011. The petitioner was convicted and sentenced to pay sum of Rs.50,000/- to the complainant within 30 days. Criminal Appeal No 18 of 2011 was preferred.

In terms of impugned Judgement and Order dated 26.04.2012, the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Fast Track, 2nd Court, Serampore, Hooghly dismissed the appeal upholding the finding of the trial Court.

When there are concurrent findings of the two courts, the High Court will loathe to interfere into except in compelling circumstances.

On perusal of the impugned Judgment, there is no glaring error or mistake of law or appreciation of evidence. Therefore, the impugned judgement demands no interference and, accordingly, the petition is dismissed on merit.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)