

19.12.2022
Serial no.16
Aloke

CRM (A) 5871 of 2022

In re : An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Murshidabad Police Station Case No. 727 of 2022 dated 07.11.2022 under Sections 341/354/376/511/506 of the Indian Penal.

-And-

In the matter of : **Rejanul Rahaman @ Md. Rejanul Rahaman
@ Rahul Sk.**

... .. Petitioner

Ms. Chandrima Debnath, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Pravasah Bhattacharya, Advocate

Mr. Pratick Bose, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. The lady developed a crush on the petitioner. Thereafter, on the refusal of the petitioner to reciprocate the police complaint was lodged.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure squarely implicates the petitioner. The petitioner claims himself to be a doctor. The petitioner apparently took advantage of his position.

In reply, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not a qualified doctor.

Apparently, the petitioner claims himself to be a doctor to the public at large. The de facto complainant went to the petitioner as a patient when the incident occurred.

Considering the materials in the case diary, the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as

transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

CRM (A) 5871 of 2022 is disposed of.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)