

05.12.2022

Sl.46

Court No.29

(AD)

(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 5665 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Kotwali (Krishnagar)** Police Station Case No.**219 of 2022** dated **08/03/2022** under Sections **420/465/467/468** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: **Sanju Gayen**

....petitioner.

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty

Mr. Shashanka Shekhar Saha

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Ld, APP

Mr. Subrata Roy

... for the State.

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary.

Apparently, in response to an advertisement uploaded on the internet, a buyer approached the de facto complainant for buying his motor vehicle. The buyer was suspicious with regard to the demand draft. The demand draft was found to be fake. A police complaint was lodged. The police are still investigating the matter.

There are materials in the case diary suggesting the involvement of the petitioner in the incident as the mastermind.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. (A) 5665 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)