

Item No.22.  
**02.12.2022**  
suman  
Ct. 24

**In The High Court At Calcutta  
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction  
Appellate Side**

**W.P.A. 25034 of 2022**

**Ranadeep Ghosh  
-versus  
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Debasis Kar  
Mr. Soumen Ghosh  
..for the petitioner

Mr. Syamal Kumar Das  
Ms. Smita Pal  
..for the Municipality

Mr. Tanmoy Chakraborty  
..for the Respondent

Mr. Susanta Pal  
Ms. Ananya Neogi  
..for the State

The petitioner alleges illegal and unauthorized construction at the instance of the respondent No.5. He complains that no steps have been taken by the Barrackpore Municipality to consider the objection filed by the petitioner on 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2022.

Learned advocate representing the respondent No.5 denies the allegations of the petitioner. It has been submitted that construction has been made in accordance with the plan sanctioned.

As it appears that the representation of the petitioner objecting to the illegal and unauthorized construction is pending consideration at the end of the respondent authorities, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the writ petition pending.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of by directing the respondent no.4 being the Board of Councilors, Barrackpore Municipality to consider and dispose of the representation made by the petitioner strictly in accordance with law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all the necessary parties including the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of communication of a copy of this order. The said respondent shall pass a reasoned order and communicate the same to all the necessary parties including the petitioner immediately thereafter.

In the event the aforesaid respondent is of the considered opinion that the construction has been made either in violation of the plan sanctioned or devoid the sanction plan, then necessary steps shall be taken to deal with such unauthorized construction, in accordance with law.

The aforesaid respondent shall restrict the consideration of the representation with regard to unauthorized construction only and not enter into or decide any private dispute of the parties regarding right, title and interest in respect of the aforesaid land.

It is made clear that this Court has not entered into the merits of the claim made by the petitioner and all points are left open to be decided by the aforesaid respondent at the time of consideration of the representation of the petitioner.

The petitioner is directed to forward a copy of the representation dated 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2022 to the aforesaid respondent at the time of communicating the order of the Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

**( Amrita Sinha, J.)**