

18.11.2022.
15.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 4025 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Manikchak P.S. Case No.72 of 2022 dated 20.02.2022 under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Wasim Akram @ Raj. ... Petitioner.

Mr. Avik Ghatak,
Mr. Fahad Imam.

...for the Petitioner.

Ms. Zareen N. Khan,
Md. Kutubuddin.

...for the State.

Liberty is given to the learned Advocate-on-record of the petitioner to correct the cause title of the petition.

Petitioner is in custody for 266 days. He submits there is no direct evidence connecting him with the murder. Presence of finger prints on a hansua seized from his residence cannot be treated as an incriminating piece of evidence.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. He submits finger prints collected from the room where the deceased was murdered matches with the petitioner.

We have considered the materials on record. Finger prints collected from the room where the deceased had been murdered matched with that of the petitioner. Statements of witnesses also indicate motive to commit the murder.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)