

60 13.06.2022

Ct-08

ar

SAT 490 of 2018

**Arati Das
Vs.
Beni Madhab Das & Ors.**

Mr. Subrata Bhattacharyya
... For the Appellant

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant has failed to show any invalidity with regard to the service of notice issued under Section 106 of the Transfer of Property Act. The service of notice was duly proved. It was not disputed that the tenancy is determinable by service of notice under Section 106 of the Transfer of Property Act. The concurrent findings of fact with regard to service of notice served upon the appellant are based on cogent evidence, we do not find any substantial question of law to be considered in this second appeal.

The second appeal is, therefore, summarily dismissed under Order XLI Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, even at the admission stage

(Siddhartha Roy Chowdhury,J.)

(Soumen Sen, J.)

