

31.10.2022
Serial no.115
Aloke

CRM (A) 5032 of 2022

In re : An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bakultala P.S. Case No. 135 of 2022 dated 21.05.2022 under Sections 498A/304B/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Gandhari Sardar & Ors.**

... .. Petitioners

Mr. Sumanta Chakraborty, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP

Mr. Dipankar Paramanick, Advocate

... ..For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that some of the petitioners are not resident of the place of occurrence. He highlights the relationship between the deceased and the petitioners.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention to the materials in the case diary including the postmortem report of the victim.

The postmortem report of the victim does not rule out homicidal death. There are injuries showing evidence of vital reaction of ante mortem in nature as recorded in the postmortem report.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

CRM (A) 5032 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)