

09.11.2022

Serial no. 17 & 39

[Dd]

(Anticipatory bail)

(Rejected)

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Hanskhali** Police Station Case No. **432** of **2020** dated **05.09.2020** under Sections **448/ 323/ 506 / 354B/ 427/ 379/307/436/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

CRM (A) 4831 of 2022

In the matter of : Laden Biswas @ Bijan Biswas
... ...Petitioner

with

CRM (A) 5104 of 2022

In the matter of : Tulu Mallick Bal
... ...Petitioner

Ms. Minoti Gomes, Advocate

... ... For the Petitioners in both applications

Mr. Binay Panda,

Ms. Puspita Saha, Advocates

... ...For the State in CRM (A) 4831 of 2022

Mr. Avishek Sinha, Advocate

... ...For the State in CRM (A) 5104 of 2022

Two applications for anticipatory bail are taken up for analogous hearing as they emanate out of the same police case. Prayer for anticipatory bail of co-accused was rejected on September 16, 2022 in CRM(A) 4440 of 2022.

Learned advocates appearing for the State submit that the petitioners in the two applications are similarly situate as that of the co-accused whose prayer for anticipatory bail was rejected.

There are materials in the case dairy suggesting that the petitioners in the two applications are involved in ransacking the house of the victim and setting the house on fire.

In such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in both the applications.

Prayers for anticipatory bail of the petitioners in both applications are **rejected**.

CRM (A) 4831 of 2022 and CRM (A) 5104 of 2022 are **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)