

15.11.2022  
Sl.No. 17  
Ct. 03  
Amalranjan

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION  
APPELLATE SIDE

CRM (DB) 3327 of 2022

Adilur Rahman  
Vs.  
State of West Bengal

In Re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code  
of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

In Re: Adilur Rahman ...Petitioner (In Jail)

Ms. Sana Naaz

... for the petitioner

Mr. Nuguive Ahamed

Ms. Trina Mitra

...for the State

The petitioner was allegedly involved in a ponzi scam.

According to the petitioner, he was just a depositor.

According to learned counsel for the State, he was  
involved in illegal fund collections.

Be that as it may, the petitioner is in custody from  
18<sup>th</sup> April, 2022 for around 210 days. Investigation is  
complete. Chargesheet has been filed. However, learned  
counsel for the State submits that the prosecution is  
contemplating to file a supplementary chargesheet on further  
investigation.

In the above facts and circumstances, this is a fit case where the petitioner should be enlarged on bail.

The petitioner will be enlarged on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned 3<sup>rd</sup> Additional District and Sessions Judge, Alipore on the following conditions:

1. The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if he has any, with the investigating officer,
2. He shall report before the said officer as and when summoned,
3. The petitioner shall not leave the limits of city of Kolkata without informing the I.C./Authorised Officer,
4. He shall not intimidate the witnesses and/or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever,
5. The petitioner shall attend the court on each and every day of trial, in default, the court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond without any reference to this Bench.

The application for bail [CRM (DB) 3327 of 2022] is, accordingly, disposed of.”

( Biswaroop Chowdhury, J. )

( I.P. Mukerji, J. )