

28.09.2022.
29.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 3271 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bagnan P.S. F.I.R. Case No.430 of 2020 dated 12.12.2020 under Sections 379/411/414/427/120B of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 15/16 of the Petroleum and Mineral Pipe Line (Acquisition of Right of user in Land Act), 1962 and Sections 3/4 of the P.D.P.P Act and Sections 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908.

In the matter of : Ranjan Kr. Bakuli @ Bhola
....Petitioner.

Ms. Devi Priya Mitra
...for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. G. Mookherji, Ld. PP
...for the State.

Ms. S. Ghosh
Mr. S. Bhattacharya
...for the de-facto complainant.

Heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody for about 370 days.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. He submits petitioner has criminal antecedents.

Learned Advocate for the de-facto complainant opposes the prayer for bail.

We have considered the materials on record.

The petitioner is a member of a gang who indulged in pilferage of inflammable petroleum products. He has criminal antecedents. Hence, he is not entitled to bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

Trial court is requested to consider the issue of framing of charge at the earliest and upon charge being framed to take the matter to its logical conclusion as expeditiously as possible.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)