

26.09.2022
Serial no.6
Aloke

CRM (A) 4538 of 2022

In re : An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Nabadwip Police Station Case No. 397 of 2022 dated 04.09.2022 under Sections 498(A)/306/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Arup Chatterjee & Ors.**

... .. Petitioners

Mr. Md. Sabir Ahmed, Advocate

Mr. Md.Abdur Rakib, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee, Id. PP

Mr. Partha Pratim Das, Advocate

Ms. Manasi Roy, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated due to property disputes.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention to the statement of the husband of the deceased recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. She refers to the postmortem report.

Considering the statement of the husband of the deceased recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure implicating the petitioners and the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

CRM (A) 4538 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)