

Form J(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

**Present :
The Hon'ble Justice Bibek Chaudhuri**

CRR 3544 of 2022

**Achalla Ravi
Vs.
The State of West Bengal**

For the petitioner : Mr. Sujeet Kumar Maurya, Adv.

Judgement on : 20.12.2022.

Bibek Chaudhuri, J.

From the cause title of the instant revision it is ascertained that the petitioner who is the complainant of Complaint Case No. C-199/2020 pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Court at Alipore approached this Court for expeditious hearing of the above-numbered complaint case but from the submission made by the learned Advocate for the petitioner it is ascertained that the grievance of the complainant is that the accused person/opposite party no. 2 after obtaining bail was irregular in attending the Court and for his absence trial of the case cannot be proceeded. It is further submitted by the learned Advocate for the petitioner that the learned Court below has also violated its own order because in the order granting bail the accused was directed to remain present on each and every

date but the accused flouted the said order but the learned Magistrate did not take any step against the accused.

From the submission made by the learned Advocate for the petitioner it is ascertained that the petitioner has not ventilated his grievance about expeditious hearing of the case. It is the grievance of the petitioner as to why the accused persons failed to appear before the Trial Court on each and every date of the hearing.

In view of such submission, the instant revisional application does not call for any favourable adjudication in favour of the petitioner if the petitioner has any grievance he is at liberty to take necessary step before the learned Magistrate.

In view of what has been stated above, I do not find any merit in the instant revision and accordingly the instant revision is summarily **dismissed**.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J.)