

**22.09.2022**  
**Serial no. 05**  
[Dd]  
(Anticipatory bail)  
(**Rejected**)

**CRM (A) 4479 of 2022**

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Tamluk** Police Station Case No. **349** dated **03.05.2022** under Sections **120B/307/323/324/325/34/406/420/506** of the Indian Penal Code (G.R. No. **1261/2022**)

**-And-**

In the matter of : **Atanu Guchhait @ Atanu Guchait & Ors.**  
... ..**Petitioners**

Mr. Suman De, Advocate  
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Id. APP  
Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya, Advocate  
... ..For the State

Mr. Soumya Nag, Advocate  
.. ...For the de facto complainant

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that there are criminal antecedents so far as the first petitioner is concerned. So far as other petitioners are concerned, as on date, he submits that there are no criminal antecedents in the police record.

Learned advocate appearing for the de facto complainant submits that the petitioners are part of a gang which defrauded members of the public. There are number of police cases pending. The first petitioner jumped bail.

There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioners. There are criminal antecedents so are as the first petitioner is concerned. There is an issue of absconsion also.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.  
**CRM (A) 4479 of 2022** is **dismissed**.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)**