

19.12.2022
sayandeep
Sl. No. 27
Ct. No. 05

WPA 21139 of 2022

Arpita Das @ Arpita Das Roy
-Versus-
Reserve Bank of India & Ors.

Mr. Sarathi Dasgupta
Ms. Ajoy Choudhury
Mr. Shivaji Mitra

..... for the petitioner

Ms. Sukla Das Chanda

.....for the State

Mr. Arun Singh
Mr. Dhiraj Kr. Gupta
Mr. Abhishek Singh
Mr. Swarup Saha

....for the respondent no. 2

It appears from the submissions on behalf of the petitioner that by the impugned communication dated 9th December, 2021, the petitioner was asked to apply for merger of the two Customer Ids standing in the name of the petitioner.

According to learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the Bank proceeded to open a new account in the name of the petitioner without the petitioner's knowledge or consent. Counsel submits that the petitioner is not able to access or operate the petitioner's earlier Bank account by reason of the Bank continuing to ask for merger of the two accounts.

Since the Bank has not been represented despite being served on two occasions, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty given to the petitioner to approach the Bank within a fortnight from date to

clarify the facts in relation to the second account being opened in the name of the petitioner and one of the private respondents without knowledge of the petitioner. The Bank shall take all necessary steps upon being satisfied that the petitioner has a case for not complying with the directions contained in the impugned letter of 9th December, 2021.

The case sought to be made out against respondent No. 12 is not acceptable.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Moushumi Bhattacharya, J.)