

22.02.2022

tkm.

Sl. 68-94

Alchemist

W.P.A 20070 of 2018

CAN 1 of 2019 (Old CAN 106/19)

Amarendranath Roy, President, Investors Welfare Association in
Alchemist Group of Company & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 21455 of 2021

Sunita Kabat

Vs.

Union of India & Anr.

with

W.P.A 143 of 2022

Sima Das & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 227 of 2022

Ashis Kumar Bishnu & Ors.

with

W.P.A 336 of 2022

Robiul Islam & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 383 of 2022

Muhammad Ali & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 558 of 2022

Mutahar Sk & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 812 of 2022

Dr. Tapan Kumar Biswas & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1034 of 2022

Najera Bewa & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1036 of 2022

Shekha Bibi & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1039 of 2022

Nazema Bibi & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1041 of 2022

Pradip Das & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1044 of 2022

Kudrat Sk. & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1185 of 2022

Shyamal Chandra Saha & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1187 of 2022

Ranjina Khatun & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1375 of 2022

Ratan Shaikh & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1376 of 2022

Malek Sekh & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1378 of 2022

Rijia Sultana & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1379 of 2022

Md. Hiru Shaikh & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1380 of 2022

Md. Khairul Anam & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1491 of 2022

Shekh Kalu & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 1493 of 2022

Abdul Aziz & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 2008 of 2022

Sefali Das & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 2011 of 2022

Masuma Khatun & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 2742 of 2022

Ram Narayan Shaw

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 2877 of 2022

Samima Begum & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

with

W.P.A 2881 of 2022

Parimal Sarkar & Ors.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Mr. S. Siddhanta

.....for the petitioner in WPA 21455 of 2021
and WPA 2742 of 2022

Mr. Pankaj Halder

Mr. Tapas Manna

Mr. Sanatan Panja

.....for the petitioner in W.P.A 143 of
2022, W.P.A 227 of 2022, W.P.A 336 of
2022, W.P.A 383 of 2022, W.P.A 558 of
2022, W.P.A 1034 of 2022, W.P.A 1036 of
2022, W.P.A 1039 of 2022, W.P.A 1041 of
2022, W.P.A 1044 of 2022, W.P.A 1185 of
2022, W.P.A 1187 of 2022, W.P.A 1375 of
2022, W.P.A 1376 of 2022, W.P.A 1378 of
2022, W.P.A 1379 of 2022, W.P.A 1380 of
2022, W.P.A 1491 of 2022, W.P.A 1493 of
2022, W.P.A 2008 of 2022, W.P.A 2011 of
2022, W.P.A 2877 of 2022, W.P.A 2881 of
2022,

Mr. Shamit Sanyal

Mr. Sabyasachi Roy

.....for the petitioner W.P.A 812 of 2022

Mr. Manoj Kurmi

Mr. Anirudha Sarkar

.....for the petitioner W.P.A 20070 of 2018

Mr. Amitesh Banerjee

Mr. Tulsi Das Ray

.....for the State

Ms. Smita Das De

.....for the UOI in W.P.A 1036 of 2022, W.P.A 1039
of 2022, W.P.A 1041 of 2022, W.P.A 1044 of
2022, W.P.A 1185 of 2022, W.P.A 1187 of
2022, W.P.A 1375 of 2022, W.P.A 1376 of
2022, W.P.A 1378 of 2022, W.P.A 1379 of
2022, W.P.A 1380 of 2022, W.P.A 1491 of
2022, W.P.A 1493 of 2022, W.P.A 2008 of
2022, W.P.A 2011 of 2022

Ms. Arpita Basu

.....for the respondent no. 1 -3 in W.P.A
143 of 2022, W.P.A 227 of 2022, W.P.A 336
of 2022, W.P.A 383 of 2022,

Mr. Palash Singhai

.....for the Resolution Professional
in W.P.A 143 of 2022, W.P.A 227 of
2022, W.P.A 336 of 2022, W.P.A 383 of
2022, W.P.A 558 of 2022, W.P.A 1034 of
2022, W.P.A 1036 of 2022, W.P.A 1039 of
2022, W.P.A 1041 of 2022, W.P.A 1044 of
2022, W.P.A 1185 of 2022, W.P.A 1187 of
2022, W.P.A 1375 of 2022, W.P.A 1376 of
2022, W.P.A 1378 of 2022, W.P.A 1379 of
2022, W.P.A 1380 of 2022, W.P.A 1491 of
2022, W.P.A 1493 of 2022, W.P.A 2008 of
2022, W.P.A 2011 of 2022

Mr. N Ahmed

.....for the One Man Committee

Mr. Shyamal Sarkar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Arindam Chandra

Mr. Atish Ghosh

Ms. Sumana Biswas

.....for res. no. 18 in W.P.A 20070 of
2018, WPA 21455 of 2021, W.P.A 143 of
2022, W.P.A 227 of 2022, W.P.A 336 of
2022, W.P.A 383 of 2022, W.P.A 558 of
2022, WPA 812 of 2022, W.P.A 1034 of
2022, W.P.A 1036 of 2022, W.P.A 1039 of
2022, W.P.A 1041 of 2022, W.P.A 1044 of
2022, W.P.A 1185 of 2022, W.P.A 1187 of
2022, W.P.A 1375 of 2022, W.P.A 1376 of
2022, W.P.A 1378 of 2022, W.P.A 1379 of
2022, W.P.A 1380 of 2022, W.P.A 1491 of
2022, W.P.A 1493 of 2022, W.P.A 2008 of
2022, W.P.A 2011 of 2022, WPA 2742 of
2022, WPA 2877 of 2022, WPA 2881 of
2022

Mr. Jishnu Saha

Mr. Dilip Kr. Ghosh

.....for res. no. 27 in W.P.A 20070 of
2018, WPA 21455 of 2021, W.P.A 143 of
2022, W.P.A 227 of 2022, W.P.A 336 of
2022, W.P.A 383 of 2022, W.P.A 558 of
2022, WPA 812 of 2022, W.P.A 1034 of
2022, W.P.A 1036 of 2022, W.P.A 1039 of
2022, W.P.A 1041 of 2022, W.P.A 1044 of
2022, W.P.A 1185 of 2022, W.P.A 1187 of
2022, W.P.A 1375 of 2022, W.P.A 1376 of

2022, W.P.A 1378 of 2022, W.P.A 1379 of 2022, W.P.A 1380 of 2022, W.P.A 1491 of 2022, W.P.A 1493 of 2022, W.P.A 2008 of 2022, W.P.A 2011 of 2022, WPA 2742 of 2022, WPA 2877 of 2022, WPA 2881 of 2022

Heard learned Counsels for the appearing parties.

Learned Counsels appearing for the writ petitioners submit they have claims against the respondent Alchemist Group of Companies.

Mr. Sarkar, learned advocate appearing for the respondent-Alchemist Infra Reality Limited submits similar matters were disposed of by this court vide order dated 16th September, 2021.

We have considered the submissions of the parties. Similar matters were disposed of by this court vide order dated 16th September, 2021 giving opportunity to the petitioners to raise their claims and assist this court in WPA 1389 of 2017 (Ajoy Kumar Das & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.). It was further observed in case any of the claims in the writ petitions still survive, they shall be at liberty to get the writ petitions revived. We are of the view the present petitions may also be disposed of in similar lines. Accordingly, it is ordered that the writ petitions are disposed of giving liberty to the writ petitioners to raise their claims and assist this court in Ajay Kumar Das (Supra). In order to do so, they may be permitted to intervene in the matter with the leave of this court.

It is further clarified if the claims of the writ petitioners are otherwise admissible before the One-Man Committee in terms of the earlier orders passed by this court, they shall be at liberty to lodge and pursue their claims in accordance with law.

With these directions, the writ petitions and the connected applications, if any, are disposed of.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)