

15.09.2022

Sl.14

Court No.29

(AD)

(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 4419 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Pursurah** Police Station Case No.**110 of 2022** dated **15/07/2022** under Sections **420/506(2)/120B** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: **Raghunath Bera**

....petitioner.

Mr. Ujjal Ray

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanmay Kumar Ghosh, Ld. Sr. Government Advocate

Mr. Arindam Sen

Ms. Pritha Paul

...for the State.

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the mechanic attending to vehicles. The petitioner introduced the purchaser of the vehicle to the broker. Apparently, according to him, there are disputes between the original owner of the vehicle and the new purchaser. The petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary and in particular to the statement of the witness recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

There are materials in the case diary suggesting that the petitioner is involved in a racket of passing off vehicles to unsuspecting purchasers for valuable consideration and not making over documents with regard to such vehicles.

The materials in the case diary suggest a requirement of

custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. (A) 4419 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)