

16.09.2022.
22.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 3136 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bhimpur P.S. Case No.92 of 2022 dated 17.03.2022 under Sections 498A/304B/302/201/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 / 4 of the D. P. Act.

In the matter of : Sri Gobinda Ghosh.

... Petitioner.

Mr. Satarup Purkasthya,
Mr. Amanul Islam,
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee, Id. P.P.,
Mr. Partha Pratim Das,
Ms. Manasi Roy.

...for the State.

Petitioner is in custody for 183 days. He submits he has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He prays for bail.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. She submits petitioner is the father-in-law of the victim housewife. He was present in the house when the incident occurred. Bail prayer of co-accused Kalyani Ghosh @ Sandhya Ghosh (Mother-in-law of the victim housewife) has been turned down by this Court in CRM (DB) 2084 of 2022.

We have considered the materials on record. Petitioner is the father-in-law of the victim housewife. He along with other inmates of the house, that is husband and mother-in-law of the victim housewife had murdered her. Petitioner does not stand on the same footing with co-accuseds who have been enlarged on bail in CRM (DB) 1656 of 2022.

Keeping in mind the aforesaid incriminating materials and gravity of the offence, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta,J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)