

26 04.01.2022
(AD) Court No.29
(Rejected)

**C.R.M. 9046 of 2021
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Domkal** P.S. Case No. **414 of 2021** dated **15/07/2021** under Sections **302/34** of the Indian Penal Code and Sections **25/27** of Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: - Jadu Mondal @ Jadu Mandal
...petitioner.

Mr. Rabiul Islam
... for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee
Mr. Suman De
...for the State.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner can justifiably claim parity with that of the co-accused who were granted anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the incident occurred at the direction of the petitioner.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein and considering the materials in the case diary, we are of the view that the petitioner cannot claim parity with the other co-accused who were granted anticipatory bail. Consequently, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. 9046 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)