

22 04.01.2022
(AD) Court No.29
(Rejected)

**C.R.M. 9005 of 2021
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Murutia** P.S. Case No. **264 of 2021** dated **21/12/2021** under Sections **376/417/506** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: - Sonatan Mondal

...petitioner.

Mr. Asraf Mandal

... for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Datta, Ld. APP
Mr. Subrata Roy

...for the State.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. The police complaint is a result of a relationship going sour.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the contents in the case diary including the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. He submits that the de facto complainant refused to undertake the medical test.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the complicity of the petitioner therein and considering the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the victim lady, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the

petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. 9005 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)