

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 8941 of 2021

In re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 21.12.2021 in connection with Mejia Police Station Case No. 124 of 2021 dated 01.11.2021 under Sections 406/417/420 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Suchand Das**

... ..Petitioner

Mr. Saheb Banerjee, Advocate

... .. For the petitioner

Mr. Binay Panda, Advocate

Mr. Subham Bhakat, Advocate

... .. For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not named in the first information report. The police however raided the residence of the petitioner. The petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner was a driver of the truck which was loaded with the stolen materials. The petitioner fled away the spot with the vehicle. He refers to the statement recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in this context. Police are yet to submit charge sheet as the investigations are yet to be concluded.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the complicity of the petitioner therein and considering the statement recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

CRM 8941 of 2021 is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)