

12.07.2022
Item no.4.
Court No.6.
AB

CRR No. 3291 of 2011

In the matter of : Byomkesh Poddar

.....Petitioner.

None appears either on behalf of the petitioner or the opposite parties today. It appears from record that on previous several occasions also, neither of the parties was present in Court.

Considering the above, record is taken up for delivering order.

This is an application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed by the petitioner challenging order dated 10.8.2011 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, 2nd Court, Krishnagar, Nadia in Criminal Motion No.171 of 2009. It is found that by dint of the impugned order, the Additional Sessions Judge has disposed of a revision case preferred by the present petitioner before it challenging order of the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Nadia under Section 144 Cr.P.C.

The grounds pleaded by the petitioner appear to be not sufficient and convincing so that the impugned order may be interfered into. It is further to note that the order under Section 144 Cr.P.C. passed by the Sub Divisional Magistrate has died its natural death after expiry of 60 days' statutory period.

Hence, there remains no merit in the instant revisional application. The same is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Urgent Photostat Certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied expeditiously after complying with all necessary legal formalities.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)