

07.09.2022

31

sdas
rejected

C.R.M.(DB) No. 3026 of 2022

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Magrahat Police Station Case No. 133 of 2022 dated 09.04.2022 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Jane Alam Molla petitioner

Mr. Dipankar Guha

... for the petitioner

Mr. S. G. Mukherji, learned P.P.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, learned A.P.P.

Mr. Dipankar Paramanick

... for the State

Mr. Anshuman Chakraborty

Mr. Debabrata Sardar

Mr. M. K. Maity

.... for defacto complainant

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits he is in custody for 131 days. It is also submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case.

Learned Counsel appearing for the State opposes prayer for bail.

Learned Counsel appearing for the defacto complainant also opposes prayer for bail.

We have considered materials on record. Statements of witnesses and other materials show petitioner had motive to commit the crime. He had called the victims to the place of occurrence. On his leading statement weapon of offence was recovered. FSL report corroborates prosecution case. In view of

the aforesaid incriminating materials and gravity of the offence,
we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)