

25.04.2022  
45  
Sayandeep  
Ct. No.39

CRR 2649 of 2021

In the matter of : Noor Hossain @ Dhiraj.

Mr. Indra Kanta Jha

... for the petitioners

Mr. Bidyut Roy  
Ms. Sujata Das

... for the State

This is an application seeking an expeditious disposal of a proceeding in which a charge-sheet was submitted under Sections 354 and 506 of the Penal Code.

Let a copy of the revisional application be served upon Mr. Bidyut Roy and Ms. Sujata Das, learned counsels, who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. They are requested to appear in this matter. Their engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is a Government servant. In order to wreak vengeance upon him, the present proceeding was instituted by his neighbour. The FIR was lodged in 2008 and the charge-sheet was submitted in 2009. Although in 2010 plea was taken, till date the proceeding could not be concluded. It has remained pending for no fault on the part of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the State would not come in way if a direction is passed for an

expeditious disposal of the proceeding. However, the petitioner has also taken time for adjournment on certain occasions.

I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels for the parties and have perused the revision petition.

No prejudice will be caused to anyone if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.

For an FIR that was lodged in 2008 and a charge-sheet was submitted in 2009, the impugned proceeding ought to have been concluded by now. Whoever may be primarily responsible for the delay in protracting the proceeding, a direction needs to be passed upon the learned Trial Court to conclude the proceeding at the earliest.

In view of the above, and in the interest of justice, I request the learned Trial Court to conclude the proceeding as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties, preferably within a period of one year from the next date of hearing.

With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)