

8 25.01.2022
(AD) Court No.29
(Rejected)

**C.R.M. 8813 of 2021
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Hanskhali** P.S. Case No. **675 of 2021** dated **26/09/2021** under Sections **341/325/326/307/34/379** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Sections **25 & 27** of the Arms Act, 1959.

And

In the matter of: - Tapan Biswas @ Kutu & Anr.

...petitioners.

Mr. Kallol Mondal
Mr. Vikash Singh
Mr. Rahul Shama
Mr. Satadru Lahiri

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Baneerjee
Mr. Suman De

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Supplementary affidavit filed in Court on behalf of the petitioners be taken on record.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that there was an incident arising out of a dispute between the political parties. The first petitioner received bullet injuries. The second petitioner is not involved in the incident.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the contents in the case diary. He submits that there are sufficient materials implicating both the petitioners.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners as transpiring from the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to any of the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

C.R.M. 8813 of 2021 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)