

03.01.2022
Serial no.3

Aloke
Ct. No. 29

(Through Video Conference)

CRM 8675 of 2021

In re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 15.12.2021 in connection with Pursurah Police Station Case No. 80 of 2021 dated 13.06.2021 under Sections 326/307/302/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Isarafil Sekh & Ors.**

... ..Petitioners

Mr. Sekhar Basu, Sr. Advocate

Ms. Pranidhi Singh, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Ms. Anushua Sinha, Advocate

Mr. Pinak Kr. Mitra, Advocate

... .. For the State

Mr. Avik Ghatak, Advocate

Mr. Abinav Rakshit, Advocate

Mr. Sagnik Mukherjee, Advocate

... .. For the opposite party

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners did not participate in the incident.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. He submits that all the petitioners are named as the assailants in the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Considering the gravity of the offence, the complicity of the petitioners therein as appearing in the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Consequently, CRM 8675 of 2021 is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)