

05.09.2022
Serial no.23
Aloke

CRM (A) 4199 of 2022

In re : An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bidhan Nagar North Police Station Case No. 55 of 2022 dated 01.04.2022 under Sections 420/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Pampa Dey**

... ... Petitioner

Mr. Sandip Kr. Bhattacharyya, Advocate

Ms. Debanwita Pramanik, Advocate

Mr. Gourav Dutta, Advocate

... ... For the Petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP

Mr. Manoranjan Mahata, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the husband was taken into custody. The petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner is a bank employee. An amount of Rs.57 lakhs was taken for the purpose of granting loan to the victim. The petitioner is hand in glove.

The account of the petitioner was utilized for the said misdeed.

There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner. The petitioner is a bank employee. Her account was utilized.

In such circumstances, considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

CRM (A) 4199 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)