

30.08.2022

26

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 4134 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Charu Market** Police Station Case No. **182** of **2021** dated **08.12.2021** under Sections 120B/406/420/409/24 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Kavita Yadav Kewalramani

..... petitioner

Mr. Tarique Quasimuddin

Mr. Avik Ghatak

Mr. Ram Narain Rajak

Ms. J. Das

....for the petitioner

Mr. Daanish Haque

Mr. Abdul Zahid

....for the de-facto complainant

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy

Ms. Sonali Das

....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated. The petitioner is a teacher of a school.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, the petitioner is a Director of a company along with the deceased husband. The company is used for facet for the purpose of collecting monies from the members of the public.

Such monies are then transferred into such companies and then into the personal account of the petitioner and the account of the presently deceased husband of the petitioner. He submits that, the petitioner is involved in defrauding the members of the public in such a manner.

Learned advocate appearing for the de-facto complainant submits that, a sum in excess of Rs.3.80 crores was made over to the petitioner through the company, in which she is a Director. The de-facto complainant is yet to receive the return of the entirety of the money made over or the promised return on investment.

The case diary suggest that the petitioner to use the facet of the company to collect money from the members of the public. The investigations are yet to be concluded. There are materials in the case diary suggesting connivance of the petitioner in defrauding the members of the public. Need for custodial interrogation of the petitioner cannot be overlooked.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 4134 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

