

24.01.2022
Court No.13
Item No.6
AP

WPA 20148 of 2021

Rupali Dutta
Vs.
The Reserve Bank of India and Ors.
(Through Video Conference)

Mr. Mainak Ganguly

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Ray

Mr. Sourajit Mukherjee

... For the Respondent No.2/SBI.

Mr. P.K. Ray, learned counsel for the State Bank of India has produced before this Court a letter dated 19th January 2022 addressed to Mr. Swarup Saha, learned advocate for the petitioner and the same is taken on record. It appears from the aforesaid letter that the discrepancy has been clarified and indicated that the State Bank of India is not responsible for the same.

Learned counsel for the writ petitioner appears and submits that the discrepancy in this matter has been resolved and his client, therefore, has no grievance against the Punjab National Bank or the State Bank of India.

In that view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)